

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

251717

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

15 Mar 99

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM- INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Richard Scott		Sgt	2178			008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
		16 Feb 99 1725 hrs		823		19
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. Brian Forberg		P.O.	18761			008
2. Darlene Wujick		P.O.	18716			008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
	1M/2	10 Oct 60	95		<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					PHYS. COND. CODE† 01	
COMPLAINANTS	2. F/2	15 Oct 60	94		<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					PHYS. COND. CODE† 01	
VICTIMS	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	
	1.					
	2.					
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
ALLEGATIONS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

Complainants alleged they on the porch at [REDACTED]. They were approached by two M/2 officers in uniform. They were searched without justification as the officers made them undue their trousers and searched their groin area while in public view. the officers made them get on their knees and told them to " Shut the fuck up." The officers refused to provide complainants with their names and star numbers.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01	Food Sales/Restaurant	11	Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02	Tavern/Liquor Store	12	Park District Property
03	Other Business Establishment	13	Airport
04	Police Building	14	Public Property - Other
05	Lockup Facility	15	Other Private Premise
06	Police Maintenance Facility	16	Expressway/Interstate System
07	CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17	Public Way - Other
08	Other Police Property	18	Waterway, Incl. Park District
09	Police Communications System	19	Private Residence
10	Court Room		

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01	No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02	No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03	Injured, Not Hospitalized
04	Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05	Injured, Hospitalized
06	Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07	Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08	Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09	Deceased
10	Deceased - Under Influence

* * IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

see continuation page

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	none	3,4,5,12,13,14,16,17	none	17

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS-- P.O. Forberg improperly searched Complainants- UNFOUNDED
P.O. Forberg refused to give his name and star number to Comp's-UNFOUNDED
P.O. Forberg was verbally abusive-UNFOUNDED
P.O. Wujick improperly searched complainants-UNFOUNDED
P.O. Wujick refused to give name/star number to complainant-UNFOUNDED
P.O. Wujick was verbally abusive-UNFOUNDED

Recommendation-- R/Sgt. recommends that this investigation with a final classification of--UNFOUNDED

REVIEWED BY [REDACTED]
JUN 25 1999

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	05 Mar 99	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	15 Mar 99	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	10
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section:			INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE [REDACTED] 2178		

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2" x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION # 251717
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

R/Sgt. was reassigned the investigation of CR# 251717 on 05 Mar 99. This investigation was originally assigned to Sgt. Wolak #2120. Sgt. Wolak's initial interview of complainant [REDACTED] (attachment 2), resulted in the accused officers being identified as P.O. Brian Forberg #18761 and P.O. Darlene Wujick #18716. These officers are assigned to the 008th District Tactical Unit under the supervision of R/Sgt.

On 06 Mar 99, at approx. 1220 hrs., R/Sgt. interviewed complainant [REDACTED] attachment 3. [REDACTED] related that he was walking down the street at approx. 63rd and Albany when Officers Forberg and Wujick stopped him, made him put his hands on a wall, searched him and sent him on his way. [REDACTED] stated that he was alone when this occurred, and that he knew the officers by name from previous contact. R/Sgt. asked [REDACTED] about the location of the incident informing [REDACTED] that in his original complaint, (attachment 1), [REDACTED] told OPS that the incident occurred on the porch at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] then stated that it was two M/2 officers who arrested him and complainant [REDACTED] on the porch for no reason. R/Sgt. informed [REDACTED] that his complaint about his arrest by two M/2 civilian dress officers was being investigated under CR#251726 (attachments 15, 16, & 17). R/Sgt. asked [REDACTED] if he remembered the date that he was stopped by Officers Forberg and Wujick and he stated that it was the day before he was arrested on his porch, (attachment 17.)

R/Sgt. interviewed complainant [REDACTED] on 06 Mar 99 at approx. 1400 hrs. (att.4) R/Sgt. asked [REDACTED] if he remembered an incident in which he and [REDACTED] were approached by the police on the porch at [REDACTED], previous to the incident in they were arrested. [REDACTED] indicated that he remembered the incident and described the officers as a big M/1 and a short M/4, in uniform, driving a marked police car.

On 07 Mar 99, R/Sgt. contacted Sgt. Wolak #2120, (attachment 2). Sgt. Wolak related that he read the allegations to complainant [REDACTED] just as they appear on attachment 1. Sgt. Wolak related that [REDACTED] stated the allegations were accurate and the Officers involved were Forberg and Wujick.

Officers Forberg and Wujick submitted to-from reports regarding the allegations (attachments 12 & 13), indicating that both officers were regular day off. R/Sgt. obtained a photo-copy of the attendance and assignment record for 16 Feb 99, (attachment 14), which indicate that the accused Officers were regular day off on 16 Feb 99.

R/Sgt.'s investigation has revealed a pattern of inconsistencies from complainants [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] told OPS that the Officers were two M/2 officers in uniform, (attachment 1), but he didn't know their names. [REDACTED] told Sgt. Wolak #2120, that the officers were a M/2 named Forberg and a F/2 Named Wujick and that he knew them from previous contact. [REDACTED] also told Sgt. Wolak that the incident occurred on the porch at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] told R/Sgt. that the incident occurred on the street at approx. 63rd and Albany, the officers were Wujick and Forberg, and that he was by himself. [REDACTED] told R/Sgt. the incident occurred on the porch at [REDACTED] and described the officers as a big M/1 and a short M/4. The only consistency in the allegations is the date of occurrence, 16 Feb 99, as documented by attachment 1 and attachments 16 & 17, which in itself exonerates Officers Forberg and Wujick as they were regular day off (attachment 14). Given the facts that the complainants changed the allegations, with regard to descriptions of the officers, location of occurrence, and what allegedly occurred every time they related the incident, along with the fact that the accused Officers were regular day off on the date of the alleged incident R/Sgt. finds that these allegations are UNFOUNDED.

[REDACTED]
Sgt. R. Scott #2178
CR# 251717

CR# 251717- Attachments

1. Complaint against Dept. Member
2. Request for Reassignment
3. Complainant interview
4. Complainant interview
5. Interview Sgt. Wolak
6. Waiver of Counsel-P.O. Forberg
7. Notification of allegations- P.O. Forberg
8. Admin. Rights-P.O. Forberg
9. Notifications of allegations-P.O. Wujick
10. Waiver of Counsel-P.O. Wujick
11. Admin. Rights- P.O. Wujick
12. To-From- P.O. Forberg
13. To-From-P.O. Wujick
14. A&A Sheets 16 Feb 99
15. Photo-copy Comp. against Dept Member CR# 251726
16. Arrest report-[REDACTED]
17. Arrest report-[REDACTED]